

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,918	01/18/2001	Jeno Gyuris	GPCI-P02-109	8196
28120 . 7	590 05/28/2003			
ROPES & GRAY LLP			EXAMINER	
BOSTON, MA	ATIONAL PLACE 02110-2624		YU, MISOOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1642	ic
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/764,918	GYURIS ET AL.				
navioury notion	Examin r	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a name application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	see NOTE below),				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
issues for appeal; and/or	r better form for appear by mate	nany reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: the non-entered amendment has 15 new	<u>claims</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>None</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,12-27,34 and 49-52</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7,9-11,28-3	3, 35-48, and 54-62.					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	· // · · · = · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 -				
		Misook Yu, 5/22/2003				

1



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that instant invention is drawn to a chimeric polypeptide with increased biologically activity and WO 95/30759 (the art of record) merely teaches increased stability of a peptide when fused to serum albumin. Therefore the art of record does not teach instant invention. However, in the response filed on 7-5-2002 (Paper No. 12), applicant argued that an increased half-time is an increase biological activity. See page 13-17 of Paper No. 12.

Applicant further argues that the specification at page 41, paragraph 1 teaches one example, a synthetic EC binding peptide inserted into mouse serum albumin has 1000-fold higher biological activity than the synthetic binding peptide alone; however, applicant is arguing a limitation which is not in these claims.

As for the 103 (a), applicant argues that WO 95/30759 does not teach inserting a useful peptide into the various cysteine loops of serum albumin but this argument is not persuasive because WO 95/30759 teaches the preferred insertion sites are exposed loops (see page 7) and Carter et al (1994, Advances in Protein Chemistry, vol. 45, pages 153-203) teach that crystal structure of serum albumin has been solved with high resolution, which shows that serum albumin has several surfaced exposed cysteine loops (see page 167-173).

As for other rejection and objection of record, applicant argues with the non-entered amendment, therefore the rejection and the objection are maintained.

MARY E. MOSHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800